arXiv:0908.4171v2 [math.PR] 30 Jul 2010

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF THE COLUMNS IN THE PRODUCTS OF NONNEGATIVE MATRICES

ÉRIC OLIVIER AND ALAIN THOMAS

Abstract. We consider the sequence of column vectors $A_1\dots A_nV$ associated to a sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative $d\times d$ matrices and to a positive d-dimensional column vector V. We give some sufficient conditions on $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for the sequence of normalized column vectors $\dfrac{A_1\dots A_nV}{\|A_1\dots A_nV\|}$ to converge (do not confuse with the convergence of $\dfrac{A_1\dots A_n}{\|A_1\dots A_n\|}$, that can fail even in case there exists two positive matrices M_1 and M_2 such that $A_n\in\{M_1,M_2\}$ for any n). These conditions seem to be strong but, given a sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative $d\times d$ matrices, it is often possible to find an increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that the sequence of the matrices $A'_k=A_{n_k+1}\dots A_{n_{k+1}}$ satisfies them. This is what we do in a second paper, by considering a set of three 7×7 sparse $(0,1)$-matrices and all the sequences $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with terms in this set, in order to apply the results of the present to the multifractal analysis of some Bernoulli convolution.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A48.

Introduction

We associate to any sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative $d\times d$ matrices, the sequence $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$P_n := A_1\dots A_n \text{ for } n\ge 1, \text{ and } P_0 := I \text{ (identity matrix of order } d). \tag{1}$$

Under some hypotheses on the matrices A_n, Theorem 1.1 gives some precisions about the orders of growth of the columns of P_n and the limits of their normalized columns (we use the norm-sum). This theorem implies the convergence of $\dfrac{P_nV}{\|P_nV\|}$ when V is a positive column vector (Corollary 1.2). Our motivation is to apply this theorem – in [14] – in order to study some continuous singular measure defined by infinite convolution of discrete measures (see [15] for the Bernoulli convolutions, and [11] for their multifractal analysis by means of products of matrices).

Example 0.1. *Let us give an example of positive matrices for which* $\dfrac{P_nV}{\|P_nV\|}$ *converges, and the normalized columns of* P_n *converge to the same limit, but* $\dfrac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$ *diverges. We*

Key words and phrases. Infinite products of nonnegative matrices.

first consider the sequence of nonnegative matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *associated to a sequence of positive integers* $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. *We associate to* $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *the continued fraction (see* [6] *for instance):*

$$\alpha = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \dots}},\ a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is known that both normalized columns of the product matrix $P_n^{(\alpha)} := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *converge to* $\dfrac{1}{\alpha+1}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. *Consequently, for any nonnegative vector* V,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{P_n^{(\alpha)}V}{\left\|P_n^{(\alpha)}V\right\|} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Let us prove that $\dfrac{P_n^{(\alpha)}}{\left\|P_n^{(\alpha)}\right\|}$ *diverges excepted in case the* a_n *are eventually constant or tend to infinity: indeed if it converges, the ratio of the entries of its first row, let* r_n, *either converges or tends to infinity; using the classical results about the continued fractions we have* $r_n = \dfrac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} \geq 1$ *and*

$$a_n = r_n - \frac{1}{r_{n-1}}; \tag{3}$$

so the integer a_n *tends to a finite value (resp. to infinity) if* r_n *do.*

Notice that $P_n^{(\alpha)}$ *is not a product of positive matrices, but* $P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}$ *is the product of the positive matrices* $\begin{pmatrix} a_{2k-1}a_{2k}+1 & a_{2k-1} \\ a_{2k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *for* $k = 1, \dots, n$, *and this gives the required counterexample: let us prove that*

(i) for any nonnegative vector V, $\dfrac{P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}V}{\left\|P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}V\right\|}$ *converges to a limit that do not depend on* V*; in particular the columns of* $P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}$ *converge to this limit;*

(ii) $\dfrac{P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}}{\left\|P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}\right\|}$ *diverges excepted if the* a_{2n} *are eventually constant or tend to infinity.*

Indeed (i) is an obvious consequence of (2), and for proving (ii) we suppose that $\dfrac{P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}}{\left\|P_{2n}^{(\alpha)}\right\|}$ *converges. Then the ratio* r_{2n} *of the entries of its first row tends to a finite value or to* *infinity. Now (3) implies* $r_{2n} = a_{2n} + \cfrac{1}{a_{2n-1} + \cfrac{1}{r_{2n-2}}}$ *and*

$$a_{2n} \le r_{2n} \le a_{2n} + C, \quad \textit{where} \quad C = \sup_k \left(\cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{r_{2k}}} \right). \tag{4}$$

Consequently,

- *in case* $r_{2n} \to r$ *(finite) one has* $C < 1$ *and, for* n *large enough,* $\lfloor r \rfloor \le r_{2n}$ *otherwise the fractional part of* r_{2n} *should be close to* 1 *in contradiction with (4); moreover,* $\lfloor r \rfloor \le r_{2n} < \lfloor r \rfloor + 1$ *and* $a_{2n} = \lfloor r \rfloor$ *for* n *large enough;*
- *in case* r_{2n} *tends to infinity,* a_{2n} *also do.*

The conditions for the convergence of the normalized columns of P_n are also quite different from the conditions for the convergence of the matrix P_n itself (given for instance in [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10]). Let for instance $S_1, S_2, \dots$ be a sequence of stochastic matrices; as noticed in [4, Theorem 1], if $S_1 \dots S_n$ converges, the rows of the limit matrix are obviously left-eigenvectors – for the eigenvalue 1 – of each matrix S such that the set $\{n \; ; \; S_n = S\}$ is infinite. Let $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of stochastic 2×2 matrices, not diagonal and with positive diagonal entries, belonging to a finite set, such that S_n has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1-x \end{pmatrix}$, $x \in]0,1[$ for infinitely many n, and S_n is not lower triangular for infinitely many n. The left-eigenvector of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1-x \end{pmatrix}$ for the eigenvalue 1 is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which is not left-eigenvector of any non lower triangular matrix. So, $S_1 \dots S_n$ diverges while – according to [17] – the normalized columns of $S_1 \dots S_n$ converge.

In [12] and [17] we have treated the case of the products of 2×2 nonnegative matrices taken in a finite set; the conditions on this set, for the simple convergence, are quite different from the ones for the uniform convergence, that we use in [12] to study some Bernoulli convolutions. In [11], [13] and [14] we also consider such measures, but only [14] requires to apply Theorem 1.1 because, in the case we consider, it is not possible to use matrices smaller than 7×7. Accordingly we think that Theorem 1.1 makes possible the study of the Bernoulli convolutions associated to the Pisot numbers, although the associated matrices may be large and far from satisfying the hypotheses of this theorem.

1. PROPERTIES OF THE COLUMNS OF P_n UNDER SOME HYPOTHESES

We use the following notation for the set of the indexes of the nonnull entries in a column vector $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix}$, or in a column of a matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & \dots & m_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{d1} & \dots & m_{dd} \end{pmatrix}$:

$$c(X) := \{i \;;\; x_i \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_j(M) := \{i \;;\; m_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

The first hypothesis we make means that the $A_n = \left(a_{ij}^{(n)}\right)$ are echelon matrices in the following sense:

(H_1): $\forall n, j, j',\ c_j(A_n) \subseteq c_{j'}(A_n)$ or $c_j(A_n) \supseteq c_{j'}(A_n)$.

The second compare the entries of the j^{th} and the j'^{th} column of A_n when $c_j(A_n)$ contains strictly $c_{j'}(A_n)$:

(H_2): $\forall n, i, j, j'$, if $i \in c_j(A_n) \setminus c_{j'}(A_n)$ then $a_{ij}^{(n)} \geq 2 \sum_{i'} a_{i'j'}^{(n)}$.

The third means that the ratio between two nonnull entries located in a same column of a same matrix A_n is bounded independently on n:

$\left(H^M\right)$: $\forall n, i, i', j$ such that $a_{i'j}^{(n)} \neq 0$, $\dfrac{a_{ij}^{(n)}}{a_{i'j}^{(n)}} \leq M < \infty$.

The theorem below classify the columns of P_n with respect to their order of growth – this classification depends on n – and asserts the existence of an unique limit in direction for each order of growth. In the corollary we deduce the convergence of $\dfrac{P_n V}{\|P_n V\|}$ when V is a positive vector. The norm we use is the norm-sum, and we call $(E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ the canonical basis of the set of the d-dimensional column vectors.

THEOREM 1.1. *For any sequence of nonnegative $d \times d$ matrices $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfy (H_1), (H_2) and $\left(H^M\right)$, there exists some d-dimensional column vectors of norm 1, let $V_1, \dots, V_\delta$, and there exists for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ some nonempty and disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, let $D_1^{(n)}, \dots, D_\delta^{(n)}$, such that*

$$j \in D_i^{(n)} \Rightarrow \frac{P_n E_j}{\|P_n E_j\|} = V_i + o(1) \text{ and } j \notin \cup_i D_i^{(n)} \Rightarrow P_n E_j = 0,$$

$$j \in D_i^{(n)} \Rightarrow c\left(P_n E_j\right) = c\left(V_i\right) \text{ for } n \text{ large enough},$$

$$i < i' \Rightarrow c\left(V_i\right) \supseteq c\left(V_{i'}\right),$$

$$i < i' \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{j \in D_i^{(n)},\ j' \in D_{i'}^{(n)}} \frac{\|P_n E_{j'}\|}{\|P_n E_j\|} \right) = 0.$$

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of nonnegative* $d\times d$ *matrices that satisfy* (H_1), (H_2) *and* $\left(H^M\right)$, *and let* V *be a nonnegative vector such that* P_nV *is not eventually null. Denoting by* $i_n(V)$ *the smallest integer* i *such that* $c(V)\cap D_i^{(n)}\neq\emptyset$ *we have*

$$\frac{P_nV}{\|P_nV\|}=V_{i_n(V)}+o\left(\max\frac{v_{j'}}{v_j}\right)$$

where $\max\dfrac{v_{j'}}{v_j}$ *means the greater ratio between the nonnull entries in* V. *In particular if* V *is positive,* $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\dfrac{P_nV}{\|P_nV\|}$ *exists and do not depend on* V.

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we deduce directly from the hypotheses (H_1), (H_2) and $\left(H^M\right)$ the following

Lemma 1.3. *If the matrices* A_n *satisfy* (H_1), (H_2) *and* $\left(H^M\right)$, *the products* $P_{m,n}=A_{m+1}\dots A_n=\left(p_{ij}^{(m,n)}\right)$ *for* $m<n$ *satisfy*

(H_1): $\forall m,n,j,j'$, $c_j(P_{m,n})\subseteq c_{j'}(P_{m,n})$ *or* $c_j(P_{m,n})\supseteq c_{j'}(P_{m,n})$;

(H_2'): $\forall m,n,i,j,j'$, *if* $i\in c_j(P_{m,n})\setminus c_{j'}(P_{m,n})$ *then* $p_{ij}^{(m,n)}\geq 2^{n-m}\|P_nE_{j'}\|$;

$\left(H^{2Md}\right)$: $\forall m,n,i,i',j$ *such that* $p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}\neq 0$, $\dfrac{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}}\leq 2Md$.

Proof: Let us first prove (H_2') by induction on n. It is true at the rank $n=m+1$ because $P_{m,m+1}=A_{m+1}$. Suppose it is true at some rank $n-1\geq m+1$ and let i,j,j' be such that $i\in c_j(P_{m,n})\setminus c_{j'}(P_{m,n})$ that is,

$$0\neq p_{ij}^{(m,n)}=\sum_k p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)}a_{kj}^{(n)}\quad\text{and}\quad 0=p_{ij'}^{(m,n)}=\sum_k p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)}a_{kj'}^{(n)}\ . \tag{5}$$

There exists k_0 such that $p_{ik_0}^{(m,n-1)}a_{k_0j}^{(n)}\neq 0$ and $p_{ik_0}^{(m,n-1)}a_{k_0j'}^{(n)}=0$; consequently $a_{k_0j}^{(n)}\neq 0$ and $a_{k_0j'}^{(n)}=0$ that is, $k_0\in c_j(A_n)\backslash c_{j'}(A_n)$ and we can use the hypothesis (H_2): $a_{k_0j}^{(n)}\geq 2\sum\limits_k a_{kj'}^{(n)}$.

Moreover, from (5) any k such that $a_{kj'}^{(n)}\neq 0$ satisfies $p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)}=0$ hence $i\in c_{k_0}(P_{m,n-1})\setminus c_k(P_{m,n-1})$ and – by the induction hypothesis

$$p_{ik_0}^{(m,n-1)}\geq 2^{n-1-m}\cdot\sum_{i'}p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)}\ .$$

Since $p_{ij}^{(m,n)}\geq p_{ik_0}^{(m,n-1)}a_{k_0j}^{(n)}\geq 2\sum\limits_k p_{ik_0}^{(m,n-1)}a_{kj'}^{(n)}$, where we sum for the indexes k such that $a_{kj'}^{(n)}\neq 0$, we deduce

$$p_{ij}^{(m,n)}\geq 2^{n-m}\sum_k\left(\sum_{i'}p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)}a_{kj'}^{(n)}\right)=2^{n-m}\|P_nE_{j'}\|\,.$$

$P_{m,n}$ satisfies the condition (H_1) because its columns are nonnegative linear combination of the ones of A_{m+1} and consequently each set $c_j(P_{m,n})$ is equal to some $c_{j'}(A_{m+1})$, $j' \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

It remains to prove that $P_{m,n}$ satisfies $\left(H^{2Md}\right)$. Let

$$M_{m,n} = \max \frac{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}}$$

that is, the maximum for $i, i', j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $p_{i'j}^{(m,n)} \neq 0$. For such i, i', j we have

$$\frac{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_k p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}}{\displaystyle\sum_k p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}}$$

and, denoting by K the set of indexes k such that $p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} \neq 0$,

$$\frac{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{k\in K} p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}}{\displaystyle\sum_{k\in K} p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}} + \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{k\notin K} p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}}{\displaystyle\sum_{k\in K} p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)}}. \tag{6}$$

In the first term we bound $p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)}$ by $M_{m,n-1} p_{i'k}^{(m,n)}$. In the second we use the inequality $\displaystyle\sum_{k\in K} p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)} \geq p_{i'k_0}^{(m,n-1)} a_{k_0 j}^{(n)}$, where k_0 is one of the indexes k such that $p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} a_{kj}^{(n)} \neq 0$. Now for $k \notin K$ one has $p_{i'k}^{(m,n-1)} = 0$ while $p_{i'k_0}^{(m,n-1)} \neq 0$, and (H_2') implies that, for any i, $p_{ik}^{(m,n-1)} \leq \dfrac{1}{2^{n-1-m}} p_{i'k_0}^{(m,n-1)}$. Using these bounds we deduce from (6) – after simplifications – that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i'j}^{(m,n)}} &\leq M_{m,n-1} + \frac{1}{2^{n-1-m}} \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{k\notin K} a_{kj}^{(n)}}{a_{k_0 j}^{(n)}} \\ &\leq M_{m,n-1} + \frac{1}{2^{n-1-m}} Md. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities $M_{m,n} \leq M_{m,n-1} + \dfrac{1}{2^{n-1-m}} Md$ for $n = m+2, m+3, \dots$, and $M_{m,m+1} \leq M$, prove that $M_{m,n} \leq 2Md$. ■

REMARK 1.4. *(i) The condition* $\left(H^{2Md}\right)$ *in Lemma 1.3 implies that the nonnull entries in* $P_{m,n}$ *satisfy*

$$p_{ij}^{(m,n)} \geq \frac{\|P_{m,n} E_j\|}{2Md^2} .$$

(ii) Let j, j' *be such that* $c_j(P_{m,n}) \setminus c_{j'}(P_{m,n})$ *contains at least one element, let* i_0*. For any* $i \in c_j(P_{m,n})$ *and for any* i' *one has – from* (H_2') *and* $\left(H^{2Md}\right)$

$$\frac{p_{i'j'}^{(m,n)}}{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}} = \frac{p_{i'j'}^{(m,n)}}{p_{i_0 j}^{(m,n)}} \frac{p_{i_0 j}^{(m,n)}}{p_{ij}^{(m,n)}} \leq \frac{2Md}{2^{n-m}} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\|P_{m,n} E_{j'}\|}{\|P_{m,n} E_j\|} \leq \frac{2Md}{2^{n-m}} .$$

Proof of the theorem: We can associate to any $d\times d$ nonnegative matrix M a matrix whose nonnull columns are the normalized nonnull columns of M: this is the matrix $MD(M)$ where $D(M) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_d \end{pmatrix}$ and $\lambda_i = \begin{cases} 1/\|ME_i\| & \text{if } \|ME_i\| \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

This matrix belongs to the compact set

$$\mathcal{K} := \{M \text{ nonnegative } d\times d \text{ matrix} \ ;\ \forall i,\ \|ME_i\| \in \{0,1\}\}.$$

Lemma 1.5. *There exists an increasing sequence of integers, let* $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$*, such that*

(i) the limit matrix $P := \lim_{k\to\infty} P_{n_k} D(P_{n_k})$ *exists, and* $\lim_{k\to\infty}(n_{k+1}-n_k) = \infty$*;*

(ii) $c_j(P_{n_k}) = c_j(P)$ *for any* j *and* k*;*

(iii) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\|P_{n_k}E_{j'}\|}{\|P_{n_k}E_j\|}$ *exists in the compact* $[0,\infty]$ *for any couple* (j,j') *such that the* j^{th} *column of* P *differ from the null column.*

Proof: (i) In the compact set $\mathcal{K}$ the sequence $(P_nD(P_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ admits a convergent subsequence, we take again a subsequence in order to have $\lim_{k\to\infty}(n_{k+1}-n_k) = \infty$.

(ii) We replace $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by some subsequence, in order that the set $c_j(P_{n_k})$ is the same for any k. Hence – using $\left(H^{2Md}\right)$ – it is also $c_j(P)$.

(iii) We replace again $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by a suitable subsequence. ■

Let us denote by C the (may be empty) set of the indexes of the null columns of P, and by $C_1,\dots,C_\gamma$ the equivalence classes defined from the relation

$$j\mathcal{R}j' \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\|P_{n_k}E_{j'}\|}{\|P_{n_k}E_j\|} \in]0,\infty[, \quad (j,j' \in \{1,\dots,d\}\setminus C),$$

ordrered in such a way that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\|P_{n_k}E_{j'}\|}{\|P_{n_k}E_j\|} = 0$ for $j\in C_a$ and $j'\in C_{a+1}$. Let $a(j)$ be the integer such that $j\in C_{a(j)}$.

Lemma 1.6. *(i) For any* $a\in\{1,\dots,\gamma\}$ *the sets* $c_j(P)$ *and* $c_j(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$ *do not depend on* $j\in C_a$*; we denote them by* $c_a(P)$ *and* $c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$ *respectively.*

(ii) The $d\times d$ *matrix* $P_{n_k}^{(a)}$ *(resp.* $P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}^{(a)}$*) whose* j^{th} *column is the one of* P_{n_k} *(resp.* $P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}$*) if* $j\in C_a$*, and whose other columns are null, has a rectangular positive* $c_a(P)\times C_a$ *(resp.* $c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})\times C_a$*) submatrix; its other entries are null.*

(iii) Denoting – for any $a\in\{1,\dots,\gamma\}$ *and* $k\in\mathbb{N}$ *– by* $b=b(a,k)$ *the smallest integer such that* $c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})\cap C_b\neq\emptyset$*, we have* $c_b(P)=c_a(P)$ *and*

$$P_{n_{k+1}}^{(a)} \underset{k\to\infty}{\sim} P_{n_k}^{(b)} P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}^{(a)},$$

meaning that each nonnull entry of the left matrix is equivalent to the corresponding entry of the right one when $k \to \infty$.

Proof: (i) By contraposition let j, j' be such that $c_j(P)$ is contains strictly $c_{j'}(P)$. From Remark 1.4(ii), $\dfrac{\|P_n E_{j'}\|}{\|P_n E_j\|} \leq \dfrac{2Md}{2^n}$ has limit 0 when $n \to \infty$ hence $a(j') > a(j)$.

Suppose now that $c_j(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$ contains strictly $c_{j'}(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$. Denoting – for any m and any $n > m$ – by $p_{ij}^{(m,n)}$ the entries of $P_{m,n} = A_{m+1} \dots A_n$ one has

$$P_{n_{k+1}} E_j = \sum_i p_{ij}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})} P_{n_k} E_i \quad \text{and} \quad P_{n_{k+1}} E_{j'} = \sum_i p_{ij'}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})} P_{n_k} E_i. \tag{7}$$

According to Remark 1.4(ii), $\dfrac{p_{ij'}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})}}{p_{ij}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})}} \leq \dfrac{2Md}{2^{n_{k+1}-n_k}}$. Denoting $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ one deduce

$$\frac{\left\|P_{n_{k+1}} E_{j'}\right\|}{\left\|P_{n_{k+1}} E_j\right\|} = \frac{U P_{n_{k+1}} E_{j'}}{U P_{n_{k+1}} E_j} \leq \frac{2Md}{2^{n_{k+1}-n_k}}$$

and $a(j') > a(j)$.

(ii) The entry of the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of $P_{n_k}^{(a)}$ (resp. $P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}^{(a)}$) is positive if $i \in c_a(P)$ (resp. $i \in c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$) and $j \in C_a$, otherwise it is null.

(iii) We consider the first relation in (7) when $j \in C_a$ and we put $b = b(a,k)$; we can restrict the summation to $i \in c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$. It is clear that, for any $i \in C_b = b(a,k)$ and any $i' \in C_{b'}$ with $b' > b$, the entries of the column vector $p_{i'j}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})} P_{n_k} E_{i'}$ are negligible when $k \to \infty$ with regard to the ones of $p_{ij}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})} P_{n_k} E_i$ (we use the bound $\dfrac{p_{i'j}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})}}{p_{ij}^{(n_k,n_{k+1})}} \leq 2Md$), so the dominant terms in this summation are the ones with $i \in c_a(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}) \cap C_b$.

Moreover, the same relation implies $c_b(P) = c_a(P)$ because $c_{b'}(P) \subseteq c_b(P)$ for any $b' \geq b$. ■

Let us prove that the column vectors PE_j are equal for any j in a given class C_a. For this we can use the Birkhoff's contraction coefficient defined in the third chapter of Seneta's book (see [16] or [5]), after extending the required results of this chapter in the following way:

Lemma 1.7. *For any square or rectangular nonnegative matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ *whose nonnull entries are the ones with indexes* (i,j) *in some product set, let* $I_A \times J_A$*, we put*

$$\theta(A) = \max_{(i,j,k,l) \in I_A^2 \times J_A^2} \frac{a_{ik} a_{jl}}{a_{il} a_{jk}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(A) = \frac{\sqrt{\theta(A)} - 1}{\sqrt{\theta(A)} + 1}.$$

For such matrices A *and* B*, if* AB *exists and is not the null matrix we have*

$$\ln(\theta(AB)) \leq \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B).$$

Proof: We can reduce the problem to the case where A and B are positive. Indeed, denoting by $A_{I,J}$ the submatrix constituted by the entries of A with indexes in some product set $I \times J$, the hypothesis $AB \neq 0$ implies

$$J_A \cap I_B \neq \emptyset,\ I_C = I_A,\ J_C = J_B,\ C_{I_C,J_C} = A_{I_A,J_A\cap I_B} B_{J_A\cap I_B,J_B}$$

and, clearly, $\theta(AB) = \theta(C_{I_C,J_C}),\ \theta(A_{I_A,J_A\cap I_B}) \leq \theta(A)$ and $\tau(B_{J_A\cap I_B,J_B}) \leq \tau(B)$.

From now A and B are positive; suppose first that B is a square matrix. Then from [16, Theorem 3.12], $\tau(B)$ is the Birkfoff's contraction coefficient of B. Denoting by d the projective distance defined in [16, Section 3.1] we have

$$\ln(\theta(A)) = \max_{R_1,R_2} d(R_1, R_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \ln(\theta(AB)) = \max_{R_1,R_2} d(R_1B, R_2B)$$

(maximums for any (R_1, R_2) couple of rows of A). Hence the inequality $\ln(\theta(AB)) \leq \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B)$ results from the following – which is an immediate consequence of the definition of the Birkfoff's contraction coefficient:

$$d(R_1B, R_2B) \leq d(R_1, R_2) \cdot \tau(B).$$

Suppose now B is a $m \times n$ matrix with $m < n$. For any $m \times m$ submatrix B' of B we have

$$\ln(\theta(AB')) \leq \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B').$$

We deduce $\ln(\theta(AB)) \leq \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B)$ because $\theta(B)$ and $\theta(AB)$ are the maximums – for B' $m \times m$ submatrix of B – of $\theta(B')$ and $\theta(AB')$ respectively, and because $\tau(\cdot)$ is an increasing function of $\theta(\cdot)$.

Suppose B is a $m \times n$ matrix with $m > n$ and let B'' be a $m \times m$ supmatrix of B obtained by repeating some columns of B. Then $\ln(\theta(AB)) = \ln(\theta(AB'')) \leq \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B'') = \ln(\theta(A)) \cdot \tau(B)$. ■

LEMMA 1.8. *The column vectors PE_j for j in a same class C_a are equal.*

Proof: First, a straightforward property of θ is that $\theta(C) \leq \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^2 \theta(D)$ if both matrices $C = (c_{ij}), D = (d_{ij})$ are as in Lemma 1.7 with $I_C \times J_C = I_D \times J_D$, and if $1-\varepsilon \leq \frac{c_{ij}}{d_{ij}} \leq 1+\varepsilon$ for any $(i,j) \in I_C \times J_C$. According to Lemma 1.6(iii), these conditions are satisfied by $C = P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}$ and $D = P^{(b)}_{n_k} P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}$ for k large enough hence – using Lemma 1.7 with $A = P^{(b)}_{n_k}$ and $B = P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}$

$$\ln\left(\theta\left(P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}\right)\right) \leq 2\ln\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right) + \ln\left(\theta\left(P^{(b)}_{n_k}\right)\right) \cdot \tau\left(P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}\right). \tag{8}$$

Now for any $a \in \{1,\ldots,\gamma\}$, $\theta\left(P^{(a)}_{n_k}\right)$ tends to $\theta\left(P^{(a)}\right)$ when $k \to \infty$, where $P^{(a)}$ is the $d \times d$ matrix which has the same j^{th} column as P for $j \in C_a$, and whose other columns are null. From $\left(H^{2Md}\right)$, $\theta\left(P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}\right)$ is bounded by $(2Md)^2$ hence $\tau\left(P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}\right)$ is bounded by a constant $\rho < 1$, and (8) implies

$$\max_a \ln\left(\theta\left(P^{(a)}\right)\right) \leq 2\ln\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right) + \rho \cdot \max_a \ln\left(\theta\left(P^{(a)}\right)\right)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that is, $\max\limits_a \ln\left(\theta\left(P^{(a)}\right)\right) = 0$ and each $P^{(a)}$ has rank 1. ■

It remains to extend these results concerning the columns of the P_{n_k}, to the ones of P_n for any n. The number of classes $C_1, \dots, C_\gamma$ – defined from the orders of growth of the columns of P_{n_k} – may obviously depend on the choice of the sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see Remark 1.10 below) so we must define some new classes by grouping together the C_a. Clearly, there exists $a_0 = 0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_\delta = \gamma$ such that the $V_j := PE_j$ are the same for $j \in D_i := \bigcup\limits_{a_{i-1}<a\leq a_i} C_a$, and $V_j \neq V_{j'}$ if $j \in D_i$ and $j' \in D_{i+1}$.

Lemma 1.9. *Given $i \in \{1, \dots, \delta\}$, for any $a \in]a_{i-1}, a_i]$ and for k large enough the integer $b(a,k)$ defined in Lemma 1.6(iii) belongs to $]a_{i-1}, a_i]$.*

Proof: Let us first prove that $V_{b(a,k)} = V_a$ for k large enough. Let $i \in C_a$, we have from Lemma 1.6(iii)

$$\frac{P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}E_i}{\left\|P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}E_i\right\|} \underset{k\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{P^{(b)}_{n_k}P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}E_i}{\left\|P^{(b)}_{n_k}P^{(a)}_{n_k,n_{k+1}}E_i\right\|}$$

where the l.h.s. is a normalized column of $P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}$ and the r.h.s. is a linear combination of the normalized columns of $P^{(b)}_{n_k}$; the sum of the coefficients of this linear combination is 1. Making $k \to \infty$ along a sequence of integers k such that $b(a,k)$ has a given value, let b, we deduce $V_a = V_b$. In other words, $V_a = V_{b(a,k)}$ excepted for a finite number of values of k.

Now for $a \in]a_{i-1}, a_i]$ and $a' \in]a_i, a_{i+1}]$, $b(a,k)$ and $b(a',k)$ cannot be in a same interval $]a_j, a_{j+1}]$ for infinitely many k: if they do, $V_{b(a,k)} = V_{b(a',k)}$ hence $V_a = V_{a'}$. They are in two intervals, let $]a_j, a_{j+1}]$ and $]a_{j'}, a_{j'+1}]$ respectively. We cannot have $j' < j$: if we have, Lemma 1.6(iii) implies that the order of growth of $P^{(a)}_{n_{k+1}}$ is less than the one of $P^{(a')}_{n_{k+1}}$, in contradiction with $a < a'$.

Finally, let us choose one element a in each interval $]a_{i-1}, a_i]$; for k large enough, the corresponding element $b(a,k)$ belong to some interval $]a_{j_i-1}, a_{j_i}]$ with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_\delta \leq \delta$. This implies obviously $j_i = i$ for any i. ■

We can now define for any $n > n_1$ the set $D_i^{(n)}$ involved in the theorem: let $k = k(n)$ be the integer such that $n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}$ and $D_i^{(n)}$ the set of the indexes j such that $c_j(P_{n_k,n})$ intersects D_i and do not intersect $D_{i'}$ for $i' < i$. Let us first prove that $D_i^{(n)}$

is non empty for n large enough. Let $j \in D_i$ and $k = k(n)$; using Lemma 1.9, for k large enough $c_j(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}})$ intersects D_i and do not intersect $D_{i'}$ for $i' < i$. Since the j^{th} column of $P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}$ is a linear combination of the ones of $P_{n_k,n}$, there exists j' such that $c_j(P_{n_k,n_{k+1}}) = c_{j'}(P_{n_k,n})$ and consequently $j' \in D_i^{(n)}$. It remains modify the set $D_i^{(n)}$ for a finite number of integers n, in order that $D_i^{(n)} \neq \emptyset$ holds for any n (the assertions of Theorem 1.1 do not depend on this modification).

The j^{h} column of P_n is $P_nE_j = P_{n_k}P_{n_k,n}E_j$; it is a linear combination of the columns of P_{n_k}, and the ratio between two coefficients of this linear combination is bounded from Lemma 1.3. Using the different orders of growth of the columns of P_{n_k}, we deduce that the first assertion in Theorem 1.1 is true – provides we call now V_i the common value of V_a for $a \in]a_{i-1}, a_i]$. The second results from Lemma 1.5(ii), and the two last assertions from the definition of the classes C_a. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.2: We denote by i the integer $i_n(V)$ involved in Corollary 1.2: we have $c(V) \cap D_i^{(n)} \neq \emptyset$ and $c(V) \cap D_{i'}^{(n)} = \emptyset$ for $i' < i$. From Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} P_nV &= \sum_{j \in D_i^{(n)}} v_j P_n E_j + \sum_{\substack{i'>i \\ j' \in D_{i'}^{(n)}}} v_{j'} P_n E_{j'} \\ &= \sum_{j \in D_i^{(n)}} v_j \left\| P_n E_j \right\| V_i + o\left(\left\| P_n V \right\| \cdot \max \frac{v_{j'}}{v_j} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Making the product by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ one deduce

$$\left\| P_n V \right\| = \sum_{j \in D_i^{(n)}} v_j \left\| P_n E_j \right\| + o\left(\left\| P_n V \right\| \cdot \max \frac{v_{j'}}{v_j} \right) \tag{10}$$

and, from (9) and (10),

$$P_n V = \left\| P_n V \right\| V_i + o\left(\left\| P_n V \right\| \cdot \max \frac{v_{j'}}{v_j} \right)$$

which proves the corollary. In particular if V is positive, $V_i = V_1$ is the limit of $\dfrac{P_nV}{\|P_nV\|}$. ■

EXAMPLE 1.10. *Let us see on a trivial example that the classes $D_i^{(n)}$ of Theorem 1.1 – related to the different limit vectors – are not the classes C_a – related to the different orders of growth in the choosen subsequence $(P_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $h_k = n_{k+1} - n_k$ tends to ∞ when $k \to \infty$, and $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of* *matrices defined by*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 30 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 30 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 30 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } n \notin \{1, n_1+1, n_2+1, \dots\},$$

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 36 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 6 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } n \in \{n_1+1, n_2+1, \dots\}.$$

Putting $s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{6^{n_i}}$ *and* $\sigma_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{36^{n_i}}$ *(with* $n_0 = 0$*) one has*

$$P_{n_k} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+2s_k+{s_k}^2+\sigma_k)36^{n_k-1} - (2s_k+2)6^{n_k-1} & (2s_k+2)6^{n_k-1} - 2^{h_k} & 2^{h_k}-1 & 1 \\ (2+s_k+{s_k}^2+\sigma_k)36^{n_k-1} - (2s_k+1)6^{n_k-1} & (2s_k+1)6^{n_k-1} - 2^{h_k} & 2^{h_k}-1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence there exists – for this subsequence $(P_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *– four orders of growth and four classes* C_a. *Nevertheless*

$$P_{n_k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+2s_k+{s_k}^2+\sigma_k)36^{n_k} & (2s_k+2)6^{n_k} & 1 & 1 \\ (2+s_k+{s_k}^2+\sigma_k)36^{n_k} & (2s_k+1)6^{n_k} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and there exists three orders of growth for $(P_{n_k+1})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. *The classes involved in Theorem 1.1 are* $D_1^{(n)} = \{1\}, D_2^{(n)} = \{2\}$ *and* $D_3^{(n)} = \{3, 4\}$. *The normalized columns of* P_n *have three distinct limits.*

Question 1.11. *For instance what are the necessary and sufficient conditions on the triangular by blocks matrices* $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} U_n & V_n \\ 0 & W_n \end{pmatrix}$, *where* U_n, V_n *and* W_n *are positive, for* $\frac{A_1 \dots A_n V}{\|A_1 \dots A_n V\|}$ *to converge for any positive column vector* V*?*

References

[1] R. Bru, L. Elsner & M. Neumann, Convergence of infinite products of matrices and inner-outer iteration schemes, *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.* **2** (1994), 183–193, *download*.

[2] I. Daubechies & J.C. Lagarias, Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **161** (1992), 227–263.

[3] I. Daubechies & J. C. Lagarias, Corrigendum/addendum to: Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **327** (2001), 69–83.

[4] L. Elsner & S. Friedland, Norm conditions for convergence of infinite products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **250** (1997), 133–142, *download the list of publications*.

[5] D.J. Hartfiel, *Nonhomogeneous matrix products*, Singapore: World Scientific. x, 224 p. £33.00 (2002).

[6] A. Ya. Khinchin, Continued fractions, *Continued fractions*, Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press. xi, 95 p. (1964), *download*.

[7] F. Le Gland & L. Mevel, Basic properties of the projective product with application to products of column-allowable nonnegative matrices, *Math. Control Signals Systems* **13** (2000), 41–62.

[8] A. Mukherjea, Products of i. i. d. $d \times d$ real matrices: Convergence in direction, *Contemp. Math.* **261** (2000), 227–238.

[9] A. Mukherjea & A. Nakassis, On the continuous singularity of the limit distribution of products of i. i. d. $d \times d$ stochastic matrices, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **15** (2002), 903–918.

[10] M. Neumann & H. Schneider, The convergence of general products of matrices and the weak ergodicity of Markov chains, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **287** (1999), 307–314.

[11] E. Olivier, N. Sidorov & A. Thomas, On the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions related to β-numeration in multinacci bases, *Monatsh. Math.* **145** (2005), 145–174, *download*.

[12] E. Olivier & A. Thomas, Infinite products of 2×2 matrices and the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions, *arXiv* (2006), 19 p., *download*.

[13] E. Olivier & A. Thomas, Infinite convolution of Bernoulli measures, PV numbers and related problems in the dynamics of fractal geometry, *Compte rendu d'un exposé à l'École Plurithématique de Théorie Ergodique II* (2006), 27 p., *download*.

[14] E. Olivier & A. Thomas, How to prove that some Bernoulli convolution is weak Gibbs, *arXiv* (2010), 27 p., *download*.

[15] Y. Peres, W. Schlag & B. Solomyak, Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions, *Progress in Probability*, Birkhäuser Verlag **Vol. 46** (2000), 39–65, *download*.

[16] E. Seneta, *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains. Revised reprint of the 2nd ed.*, Springer Series in Statistics. New York, NY: Springer. xiii, 287 p. EUR 45.96 (2006), *partial download*.

[17] A. Thomas, Infinite products of nonnegative 2×2 matrices by nonnegative vectors, *arXiv* (2010), 8 p., *download*.

(Éric Olivier) LATP, 39, rue Joliot-Curie,
13453 Marseille, Cedex 13, France

E-mail address: `eric.olivier@univ-provence.fr`

(Alain Thomas) LATP, 39, rue Joliot-Curie,
13453 Marseille, Cedex 13, France

E-mail address: `thomas@cmi.univ-mrs.fr`